

TWO TO ONE IMAGES AND PFA

ALAN DOW

ABSTRACT. We prove that all maps on N^* that are exactly two to one, are trivial if PFA is assumed.

1. INTRODUCTION

A map $f : X \rightarrow K$ is precisely two to one if for each $k \in K$, there are exactly two points of X that map to k . For the remainder of the paper we are assuming that f is a precisely two to one mapping from N^* onto some (compact) space K . The question of whether there are non-trivial two to one maps on N^* is motivated by the papers of van Douwen [vD93] and R. Levy [Lev04]. In particular, Levy asks if every two to one image of N^* is homeomorphic to N^* . In fact there are several questions in [Lev04] that are consistently answered by the results in this paper. The behavior of two to one maps on N^* when CH is assumed is investigated in [DT04]. It is well known that van Douwen has shown that there is a compact separable space which is a ≤ 2 to one image of N^* and this pathology motivates the current study. R. Levy showed that if f is precisely two to one on N^* then K will have weight equal to \mathfrak{c} and countable discrete subsets of K will have closure homeomorphic to βN .

Proposition 1. *If f is locally one to one (every point has a neighborhood on which f is one to one), then N can be partitioned into $a \cup b$ such that $f[a^*] = f[b^*] = K$. Since f is two to one, f is then a homeomorphism on each of a^* and b^* .*

Proof. If each point of N^* has a neighborhood on which f is one to one, then there is a finite cover by such neighborhoods. Let \mathcal{A} be a finite partition of N such that f is one to one on each $a^* \in \mathcal{A}$. Enumerate $\mathcal{A} = \{a_i : i \leq n\}$. We will use induction on n . Consider the compact set $B_0 = f^{-1}(f[a_0^*]) \setminus a_0^*$ and note that $f[B_0] = f[a_0^*]$. Since f is two to one, $f[B_0 \cap a_1^*]$ is disjoint from $f[\bigcup_{1 < j} a_j^*]$. Therefore there is a $c_1 \subset a_1$ such that $B_0 \cap a_1^* \subset c_1^*$ and $f[c_1^*]$ also disjoint from $f[\bigcup_{1 < j} a_j^*]$. Since f is precisely two to one, and is one to one on c_1^* , it follows that $f[c_1^*] \subset f[a_0^*]$. That is, we have shown that $B_0 \cap a_1^* = c_1^*$. The same argument applies for each $i > 0$ replacing 1, hence B_0 is equal to b_0^* for some infinite $b_0 \subset N \setminus a_0$. It follows that the restriction of f to the union of $\{(a_1 \setminus b_0)^*, (a_2 \setminus b_0)^*, \dots, (a_n \setminus b_0)^*\}$ is precisely two to one and is one to one on each piece. \square

We will also assume throughout that PFA, OCA and MA hold. The statements of each of these can be found in [Tod89] and some familiarity will be assumed. Basic information about N^* can be found in [Wal74]. Of course it is well known

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54A25.

Key words and phrases. two to one maps, Stone-Cech, PFA.

(see [Vel93]) that OCA and MA implies that the mapping, $f^{-1} \circ f$ from a^* to b^* in the above lemma will actually be a trivial mapping.

Definition 2. Let \mathcal{J} be the collection of those sets $a \in [\omega]^\omega$ on which f is precisely two to one and locally one to one.

Proposition 3. *For each $a \in \mathcal{J}$, there will be a permutation h_a on a such that h_a is never the identity, h_a^2 is the identity, and for each $b \subset a$, $f[b^*] = f[h(b)^*]$.*

Proof. By Proposition 1, a can be partitioned as $a_0 \cup a_1$ such that $f[a_0^*] = f[a_1^*]$. It follows easily that $f^{-1} \circ f$ restricts to a homeomorphism from a_0^* to a_1^* . By OCA and MA, this is a trivial homeomorphism and h_a is the witness together with its inverse (with possibly finitely many elements of a removed). \square

Naturally the task is to prove that \mathcal{J} does not generate a proper ideal. The first step is to prove that \mathcal{J} is not empty. We will proceed by first showing that if f is not locally one to one, then there is a point x such that for every countable family $\{A_n : n \in \omega\} \subset x$, there is an $A \in \mathcal{J}$ such that A is almost contained in each A_n .

There are two main results. The first is Lemma 14 which is critical to establishing that such an x exists. The second, Theorem 17, is to show that this leads to a contradiction.

We will certainly need the following results from [Far00, 3.3.2;3.8.2]

Definition 4. An ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset \wp(N)$ is ccc over fin, if there is no uncountable family of almost disjoint subsets of N such that none are in \mathcal{I} .

The following are consequences of OCA and MA (and therefore of PFA).

Proposition 5. *If $\Phi : \wp(N)/fin \rightarrow \wp(N)/fin$ is a homomorphism, then there is an $A \subset N$ and an $h : A \rightarrow N$, such that $\{a \subset N : \Phi(a) = h^{-1}(a)\}$ is ccc over fin.*

Proposition 6. [Far00, 3.5.5] *If a subset of N^* is a continuous image of N^* , then it is equal to the disjoint union of a clopen set and a nowhere dense set.*

It will be useful to explicitly record the following corollary combining the previous two results.

Corollary 7. *If a nowhere dense set T of N^* is homeomorphic to N^* , then there does not exist an uncountable family of pairwise disjoint clopen subsets of N^* each of which meets T .*

2. BASIC PROPERTIES OF f AND K

We will have to show that K is nowhere ccc (which will just use MA). We fix some closed subset Z_0 of N^* such that f restricted to Z_0 is irreducible (meaning no proper closed subset of Z_0 will map onto). We will show that the image of $N^* \setminus Z_0$ is dense in K (i.e. f restricted to Z_0 is nowhere precisely two to one).

Lemma 8 (MA). *For each clopen subset U of Z_0 , there is a point $x \notin Z_0$ such that $f(x) \in f[U]$.*

Proof. Let U be a clopen subset of Z_0 . If $f^{-1}(f[U])$ is contained in Z_0 then there is a $b_0 \in [N]^\omega$ such that $f^{-1}(f[b_0^*]) \subset U$. Since f is irreducible, for each clopen $a \subset Z_0$, $J_a = a \cap f^{-1}(f[Z_0 \setminus a])$ is a nowhere dense subset of a . Let $\{b_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathfrak{c}\}$ enumerate $[b_0]^\omega$. For each $\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}$, $J_\alpha = J_{b_\alpha^*}$ is a nowhere dense subset of b_0^* . It is well known that MA implies that a clopen subset of N^* can not be covered by any

family of \mathfrak{c} many nowhere dense sets. Therefore there is a point $x \in b_0^*$ such that $x \notin \bigcup \{J_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathfrak{c}\}$. Since f is precisely two to one, there is a point $x' \neq x$ such that $f(x') = f(x)$. Since $x' \neq x$, there is an $\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}$ such that $x \in b_\alpha^*$ and $x' \notin b_\alpha^*$. Since $x \notin J_\alpha$, it follows that $x' \notin Z_0 \setminus b_\alpha^*$. Therefore $x' \notin Z_0$. \square

Lemma 9. *For each $a \in [\omega]^\omega$, there is a $b \in [a]^\omega$ such that $f \upharpoonright b^*$ is one-to-one. If f is one to one on b^* and $f[b^*]$ has interior, then $f^{-1}(f(b^*))$ contains c^* for some $c \in \mathcal{J}$.*

Proof. If a^* is not contained in Z_0 , then any $b \subset a$ such that $b^* \cap Z_0$ will work. Otherwise we have that $a^* \subset Z_0$. Since f is irreducible on Z_0 , $K \setminus f[Z_0 \setminus a^*]$ is not empty. By Lemma 8, there is an $x \in N^* \setminus Z_0$ such that $f(x) \in K \setminus f[Z_0 \setminus a^*]$. In fact, there is a element $C \in x$ such that $C \cap a = \emptyset$ and $f[C^*]$ is contained in $f[a^*]$. Since f is two to one, $f(x)$ is not in $f[N^* \setminus (a \cup C)^*]$. Let b be any subset of a such that $f[b^*] \cap f[C^*]$ and $f[b^*] \cap f[N^* \setminus (a \cup C)^*]$ are empty. It follows that b^* is a clopen subset of Z_0 with the property that $f[b^*]$ is disjoint from $f[N^* \setminus Z_0]$. This contradicts Lemma 8

Now assume that $f[b^*]$ has non empty interior in K . If b^* is disjoint from Z_0 , fix any point $z_0 \in Z_0$ such that $f(z_0)$ is in the interior of $f[b^*]$. Find $b_0 \in z_0$ such that $b_0 \cap b$ is empty and $f[b_0^*]$ is a subset of $f[b^*]$. Clearly f is one to one on b_0^* and $f^{-1}(f(b_0^*))$ contains $f^{-1}(f(b_0^*))$, hence it suffices to show that the lemma holds if we assume that $b^* \cap Z_0$ is not empty.

Of course $b^* \cap Z_0$ is a clopen subset of Z_0 . Since f is irreducible on Z_0 , there is some $c_0 \subset b$ such that $c_0^* \cap Z_0$ is not empty and is such that $f[c_0^* \cap Z_0]$ is disjoint from $f[Z_0 \setminus b^*]$. Since f is one to one on b^* , it also follows that $f[c_0^*]$ is disjoint from $f[b^* \setminus c_0^*]$. Therefore $f[c_0^* \cap Z_0] = K \setminus (f[Z_0 \setminus b^*] \cup f[b^* \setminus c_0^*])$ is a clopen subset of K . Let $c \subset N$ be chosen so that $c^* = f^{-1}(f[c_0^* \cap Z_0])$. Since f is one to one on c_0^* , it follows that $c_0^* \cap c^* = c^* \cap Z_0$. This means that $c \setminus c_0$ and $c \cap c_0$ is the partition of c showing that $c \in \mathcal{J}$. \square

For $b \subset N$, let f_{+b} denote the mapping $f \upharpoonright b^*$ and $f_{-b} = f \upharpoonright (N \setminus b)^*$.

Lemma 10. *If f is one to one on b^* , then $g_b = f_{-b}^{-1} \circ f_{+b}$ is an embedding of b^* into $(N \setminus b)^*$. If $c^* \subset g_b[b^*]$, then $c \cup b$ contains a member of \mathcal{J} .*

Proof. Since $g_b^{-1}[c^*]$ will be a clopen subset of b^* , there is a $c_0 \subset b$ such that $g_b[c_0^*] = c^*$. It follows that $f[c_0^*] = f[c^*]$ and that f is locally one to one on $(c \cup c_0)^*$. Therefore, $c \cup c_0$ will be in \mathcal{J} . \square

Lemma 11 (MA). *The space K is nowhere ccc.*

Proof. It suffices to show that Z_0 is nowhere ccc since the map is irreducible on Z_0 . Let $A \subset N$ and $A^* \cap Z_0 \neq \emptyset$ and assume that $A^* \cap Z_0$ is ccc. Since $f[Z_0 \setminus A^*]$ and $f[A^* \cap Z_0]$ meet in a nowhere dense subset of K , there is a clopen subset b_0 of $A^* \cap Z_0$ such that $f[b_0] \cap f[Z_0 \setminus A^*]$ is empty. Since $Z_0 \setminus A^*$ is compact, there is a clopen set A_1^* of N^* such that $Z_0 \setminus A^* \subset A_1^*$ and $f[b_0] \cap f[A_1^*]$ is empty.

Let $\{b_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathfrak{c}\}$ enumerate the collection of clopen subsets of $Z_0 \cap A^*$. Further let $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathfrak{c}\}$ enumerate all the infinite subsets of N with the property that their closures are disjoint from Z_0 .

For each clopen subset a of b_0 , let $J_a = a \cap f^{-1}[f[Z_0 \setminus a]]$. Since f is irreducible on Z_0 , each J_a is nowhere dense in Z_0 . Also let $Y_\alpha = Z_0 \cap A^* \cap f^{-1}[f[A_\alpha^*]]$ for each

$\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}$. Since f is one to one on A_α^* , $f[A_\alpha^*]$ is nowhere ccc. Since we are assuming that $Z_0 \cap A^*$ is ccc, it follows that Y_α is also nowhere dense in Z_0 .

We inductively define a family, $\{a_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ of clopen subsets of $A^* \cap Z_0$. Let $a_0 = b_0$. Our inductive hypotheses are that for each $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$,

- (1) $\{a_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ has the finite intersection property;
- (2) a_β is contained in one of $\{b_\beta, b_0 \setminus b_\beta\}$;
- (3) for each $\beta + 1 < \alpha$, $a_{\beta+1}$ is disjoint from $J_{a_\beta} \cup Y_\beta$; and
- (4) the family $\{a_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ has non-empty intersection.

Suppose we have chosen the family $\{a_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$. Let Z_α denote the closed set $\bigcap \{a_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$. For each integer n , the selection of a_n is trivial, and since we are assuming that a_0 is ccc, we can assume that $\alpha \geq \omega$ and that Z_α is nowhere dense in Z_0 . If α is a limit, then simply let a_α equal b_α if b_α meets Z_α , otherwise set $a_\alpha = b_0 \setminus b_\alpha$. Otherwise, $\alpha = \beta + 1$ and we must avoid $J_{a_\beta} \cup Y_\beta$. It suffices to show that Z_α is not contained in $J_{a_\beta} \cup Y_\beta$ because then we can select a_α to meet Z_α , miss $J_{a_\beta} \cup Y_\beta$ and to be contained in one of $\{b_\alpha, b_0 \setminus b_\alpha\}$.

Let \mathcal{B}_α be a Boolean subalgebra of $\{b_\gamma : \gamma < \mathfrak{c}\}$ which contains $\{a_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \cup \{b_\alpha\}$. For each $\gamma < \alpha$, we can ensure that there is a countable subset \mathcal{U}_γ of \mathcal{B}_α such that each member of \mathcal{U}_γ is disjoint from $J_\gamma \cup Y_\gamma$ and $\bigcup \mathcal{U}_\gamma$ is dense in $A^* \cap Z_0$. Since $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$, there is such a \mathcal{B}_α of cardinality less than \mathfrak{c} . Since \mathcal{B}_α is ccc and of cardinality less than \mathfrak{c} , its Stone space is separable. Furthermore, \mathcal{U}_α generates a dense open subset, U_α , of the Stone space. Let D be a countable dense subset of U_α . The filter base on \mathcal{B}_α consisting of finite intersections of members of $\{a_\gamma : \gamma < \alpha\}$ generates a closed set, F_α , in $S(\mathcal{B}_\alpha)$ with character less than \mathfrak{c} . Martin's axiom implies that there is a countable set $\{d_n : n \in \omega\} \subset D$ which converges to F_α . That is, for any finite intersection of members of $\{a_\gamma : \gamma < \alpha\}$, there are only finitely many x_n which are not in the intersection. For each n , x_n is in fact a filter on \mathcal{B}_α , hence there is a point $z_n \in Z_0$ which is also in each member of the filter.

For each n , let $z'_n \in N^*$ be distinct from z_n such that $f(z'_n) = \overline{f(z_n)}$. Let $T = f[Z_\alpha]$, a nowhere dense subset of K . By construction, the image of $\{z_n : n \in \omega\}$ is contained in $\{f(z_n) : n \in \omega\} \cup T$. Since K has no isolated points, and $\{f(z_n) : n \in \omega\}$ is a relatively closed subset of $Z_0 \setminus T$, it is nowhere dense and discrete. Therefore $f^{-1}(\overline{f(\{z_n : n \in \omega\})})$ meets Z_0 in a nowhere dense set. Furthermore, this set contains $\{z'_n : n \in \omega\}$.

Let $x \in Z_0$ be a limit point of $\{z_n : n \in \omega\}$, hence $x \in Z_\alpha$. There is a point x' which is a limit point of $\{z'_n : n \in \omega\}$ such that $f(x) = f(x')$ since $f(\overline{\{z_n : n \in \omega\}}) = f(\overline{\{z'_n : n \in \omega\}})$. Clearly $x \in b_\beta$ and we claim that $x \notin J_{a_\beta} \cup Y_\beta$. To show this it is sufficient (and necessary) to show that x' is not in $(Z_0 \setminus b_\beta) \cup A_\beta^*$. For each n , $z_n \notin Y_\beta$, hence $z'_n \notin A_\beta^*$. Since A_β^* is clopen and x' is a limit of $\{z'_n : n \in \omega\}$ it follows that $x' \notin A_\beta^*$. The collection $\{z'_n : n \in \omega\} \cap Z_0$ is contained in b_α , which is clopen in Z_0 , hence this closure is disjoint from $Z_0 \setminus b_\alpha$. We will be finished if we show that the closure of $\{z'_n : n \in I\} = \{z'_n : n \in \omega\} \setminus Z_0$ is disjoint from Z_0 . Since $\{z_n : n \in \omega\} \subset b_0$ and $f[b_0]$ is disjoint from $f[A_1^*]$, it follows that $\{z'_n : n \in \omega\}$ is disjoint from A_1^* . Recall that we showed above that $f^{-1}(\overline{f(\{z_n : n \in \omega\})})$ meets Z_0 in a nowhere dense set and that it contains $\overline{\{z'_n : n \in \omega\}} \cap Z_0$. Since it is nowhere dense and b_0 is ccc, there is a collection $\{c_n : n \in \omega\}$ of clopen subsets of N^* such that each is disjoint from $\{z'_n : n \in \omega\}$ and such that b_0 is contained in the closure of $\bigcup \{c_n \cap b_0 : n \in \omega\}$. For each $n \in I$,

let d_n be a clopen subset of $N^* \setminus A_1^*$ such that $z'_n \in d_n$ and $d_n \cap (Z_0 \cup \bigcup\{c_k : k \leq n\})$ is empty. For each $n \in \omega$, shrink c_n by removing $\bigcup\{d_k : k < n\}$; note that this does not change $c_n \cap Z_0$. Then we have that $\bigcup_{n \in I} d_n$ and $A_1^* \cup \bigcup_n c_n$ are disjoint, and as is well-known, they have disjoint closures in N^* . Since the latter closure contains Z_0 we have finished the proof. \square

Proposition 12. *If f is one to one on b^* , then b can be partitioned into two, b_0 and b_1 , such that $f[b_0^*]$ is clopen, and $f[b_1^*]$ is nowhere dense.*

Proof. Again let g_b denote the embedding of b^* into $(N \setminus b)^*$ given by $f_{-b}^{-1} \circ f_{+b}$. By Proposition 6, there is $c \subset N \setminus b$ such that $c^* \subset g_b[b^*]$ and $g_b[b^*] \setminus c^*$ is nowhere dense. There are $b_0 \subset b$ such that $g_b[b_0^*] = c^*$ and $b_1 = b \setminus b_0^*$ will satisfy $g_b[b_1^*]$ is nowhere dense. It follows that $f[b_0^*] = f[c^*]$ and $f[b_0^*] = K \setminus f[(N \setminus (b_0 \cup c))^*]$ is clopen. In addition, $f[b_1^*]$ is nowhere dense in K because $g_b[b_1^*]$ is equal to $f_{-b}^{-1}(f[b_1^*])$ and is nowhere dense in $(N \setminus b)^*$. \square

3. TREE-LIKE FAMILIES

An embedding of N^* into N^* is said to be trivial, if the embedding lifts to an embedding of βN into βN . It is an open problem to determine if there can be a non-trivial embedding of N^* into N^* under PFA. If there are none, then it is easy to use Levy's result in [Lev04] to show that the set b_1 in Proposition 12 would be empty. The main result of this section is used as an alternative approach.

Proposition 13. [Vel93, 2.3] *Let \mathcal{A} be an uncountable almost disjoint family of infinite subsets of N . Then there is an uncountable $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ and for each $a \in \mathcal{B}$ a partition $a = a_0 \cup a_1$ such that the family $\mathcal{B}_i = \{a_i : a \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is tree-like for each $i \in \{0, 1\}$.*

Lemma 14. *Suppose that $\{a_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1\}$ is a tree-like family of subsets of ω with the property there is a $b_\alpha \in [a_\alpha]^\omega$ such that $f(b_\alpha^*)$ is disjoint from $f[(N \setminus a_\alpha)^*]$. Then there is an $b_\alpha = b$ such that $g_b[b^*]$ has interior.*

Proof. We may assume that f is one-to-one on b_α^* by Lemma 9. For each $c \subset a_\alpha$ define $F(c) \subset b_\alpha$ as follows. Since $f[(a_\alpha \setminus b_\alpha)^*]$ contains $f(b_\alpha^*)$ and f is precisely two to one, there will be a subset $F(c)$ of b_α such that

$$f[F(c)^*] = f(b_\alpha^*) \cap f[(c \setminus b_\alpha)^*].$$

The definition of F on $\wp(a_\alpha)$ can also be expressed as $F(c)^*$ is the clopen subset of b^* which is equal to $g_b^{-1}[c^* \cap g_b(b_\alpha^*)]$.

It is easily seen that F is a homomorphism from $\wp(a_\alpha)/fin$ onto $\wp(b_\alpha)/fin$. By Corollary 7, we need to find some α and some uncountable family of pairwise disjoint clopen sets each of which meets $g_{b_\alpha}[b_\alpha^*]$. Equivalently, by Farah [Far00] (Proposition 5), if the kernel of F is not ccc over fin , then there is a $c \subset a_\alpha \setminus b_\alpha$ such that F is a trivial isomorphism from $\wp(c)$ to $\wp(b_\alpha)$. We proceed as in [Vel93].

Let \mathcal{X} denote the set of all pairs $\langle c, d \rangle$ such that for some α , $d \subset c \subset a_\alpha \setminus b_\alpha$, and each of $F(d)$ and $F(c \setminus d)$ are not 0.

We define a set $K_0 \subset [\mathcal{X}]^2$ according to $(\langle c, d \rangle, \langle \bar{c}, \bar{d} \rangle) \in K_0$ providing

- (1) $c \subset a_\alpha$ and $\bar{c} \subset a_{\bar{\alpha}}$ implies $\alpha \neq \bar{\alpha}$
- (2) $c \cap F(\bar{c})$ and $\bar{c} \cap F(c)$ are empty;
- (3) $c \cap \bar{d} = \bar{c} \cap d$;
- (4) $F(c) \cap F(\bar{d})$ is not equal to $F(\bar{c}) \cap F(d)$.

The appropriate separable metric topology on \mathcal{X} (given by considering it as embedded in $\wp(\omega)^4$ by the mapping sending $\langle c, d \rangle$ to $\langle c, d, F(c), F(d) \rangle$) will result in K_0 being an open subset of $[\mathcal{X}]^2$ (see [Vel93]).

Assume that \mathcal{Y} is an uncountable subset of \mathcal{X} and that $[\mathcal{Y}]^2 \subset K_0$. Let $I \subset \omega_1$ be the set of α such that there is $\langle c, d \rangle \in \mathcal{Y}$ such that $c \subset a_\alpha$. Also let $\langle c_\alpha, d_\alpha \rangle \in \mathcal{Y}$ be chosen for each $\alpha \in I$ so that $c_\alpha \subset a_\alpha$. Since $[\mathcal{Y}]^2 \subset K_0$ and \mathcal{Y} is uncountable, it follows that I is uncountable.

Let $C = \bigcup\{c_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ and $D = \bigcup\{d_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$. Let $\langle c, d \rangle$ and $\langle \bar{c}, \bar{d} \rangle$ be an arbitrary distinct pair from \mathcal{Y} . Note that $c \cap (F(c) \cup F(\bar{c}))$ is empty. It follows that C is disjoint from $\bigcup\{F(c) : \langle \exists d \rangle \langle c, d \rangle \in \mathcal{Y}\}$. Also, $D \cap c$ will equal d for each $\langle c, d \rangle \in \mathcal{Y}$. Hence $(C \setminus D) \cap c = c \setminus d$ for each $\langle c, d \rangle \in \mathcal{Y}$.

Now consider the two families $\{F(d_\alpha) : \alpha \in I\}$ and $\{F(c_\alpha \setminus d_\alpha) : \alpha \in I\}$. Assume that $E \subset \omega$ and that $E \cap F(c_\alpha) =^* F(d_\alpha)$ for each $\alpha \in I$. Let $n \in \omega$ and $I' \in [I]^{\omega_1}$ such that $(E \cap F(c_\alpha)) \Delta F(d_\alpha)$ is contained in n for all $\alpha \in I'$. Let $\alpha \neq \beta$ both be in I' . We may assume that $F(c_\alpha) \cap n = F(c_\beta) \cap n$, $F(d_\alpha) \cap n = F(d_\beta) \cap n$. Also, we may assume that $F(d_\alpha) \setminus F(c_\alpha)$ is contained in n for all $\alpha \in I'$.

Suppose that $j \in F(c_\alpha) \cap F(d_\beta)$ and $j \notin F(c_\beta) \cap F(d_\alpha)$. Clearly j must be larger than n . Since $j \in F(d_\beta)$, it follows that $j \in F(c_\beta)$. Therefore j is in E . On the other hand, since j is in $E \cap F(c_\alpha)$, it must follow that $j \in F(d_\alpha)$, contradicting that $j \notin F(c_\beta) \cap F(d_\alpha)$.

It follows then that there is no such E . This means that $\bigcup\{F(d_\alpha)^* : \alpha \in I\}$ and $\bigcup\{F(c_\alpha \setminus d_\alpha)^* : \alpha \in I\}$ do not have disjoint closures in N^* . Fix any $x \in N^*$ which is in each of the closures. Notice that $x \notin C^*$.

Since $f[d_\alpha^*]$ is equal to $f[F(d_\alpha)^*]$ and $f[(c_\alpha \setminus d_\alpha)^*] = f[(F(c_\alpha \setminus d_\alpha))^*]$, it follows that $f(x)$ is in the image of the closure of $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} d_\alpha^*$ and of $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} (c_\alpha \setminus d_\alpha)^*$. Therefore $f(x)$ is in the image of D^* and of $(C \setminus D)^*$. However this contradicts that $f(x)$ only has two points mapping to it, we have found points in D^* , $(C \setminus D)^*$, and $(N \setminus C)^*$.

Therefore by OCA, \mathcal{X} can be expressed as a countable union $\bigcup_n \mathcal{Y}_n$ such that $[\mathcal{Y}_n]^2 \cap K_0$ is empty for each n . For each n , there is a countable $Y_n \subset \mathcal{Y}_n$ such that for each integer m and each $\langle c, d \rangle \in \mathcal{Y}_n$, there is some $\langle \bar{c}, \bar{d} \rangle \in Y_n$ such that $c \cap m = \bar{c} \cap m$, $d \cap m = \bar{d} \cap m$, $F(c) \cap m = F(\bar{c}) \cap m$, and $F(d) \cap m = F(\bar{d}) \cap m$.

Fix any $\alpha \in \omega_1$ such that $\bar{c} \cap a_\alpha$ is finite for each $\langle \bar{c}, \bar{d} \rangle \in \bigcup_n Y_n$. Construct an increasing sequence $\{k_n : n \in \omega\}$ of integers so that for each n and each $i \leq n$ and each sequence c', d', a', b' of subsets of k_n , if there is a $\langle c, d \rangle \in \mathcal{Y}_i$ such that $c \cap k_n = c'$, $d \cap k_n = d'$, $F(c) \cap k_n = a'$, and $F(d) \cap k_n = b'$, then there is a pair $\langle c, d \rangle \in Y_i$ that also has this property, and in addition, $a_\alpha \cap a_{\bar{\alpha}} \subset k_{n+1}$ where $c \subset a_{\bar{\alpha}}$.

Define E_i to be $\bigcup\{a_\alpha \cap [k_{3n+i}, k_{3n+i+1}) : n \in \omega\}$ for $i \in 3$. There is an $i \in 3$ such that $F(E_i)$ is not finite. There is a $j \in 3$ such that $E_j \cap F(E_i)$ is not finite. Fix any $c \subset E_i$ such that $F(c)$ is infinite and is contained in E_j . Let d_0, d_1, d_2 be a partition of c so that $F(d_0)$, $F(d_1)$, and $F(d_2)$ are each infinite. For simplicity we will assume that $\{i, j\} = \{1, 2\}$. Note that for all $x \subset d_1$, the pair $\langle c, d_0 \cup x \rangle$ is a member of \mathcal{X} (since $c \setminus (d_0 \cup x)$ contains d_2).

Under the same (or similar) circumstances it is shown in [Vel93, 2.2] that $F \upharpoonright \wp(a_\alpha)$ is Borel. Then one can apply the results in [Far00] to deduce that $g_{b_\alpha}[b_\alpha^*]$ is not nowhere dense. However, rather than checking that the approach in [Vel93] is applicable, we will directly produce an uncountable family of clopen subsets of N^* each of which will meet $g_{b_\alpha}[b_\alpha^*]$.

We construct an increasing sequence $\{m_i : i \in \omega\} \subset \{k_{3\ell} : \ell \in \omega\}$ together with subsets $t_i \subset d_1 \cap [m_i, m_{i+1})$ and possibly infinite sets $\{J_i : i \in \omega\}$ by induction. We can let $m_0 = 0$ and $J_{-1} = \emptyset$. For each $J \subset N$, let $D(J) = d_0 \cup (d_1 \cap \bigcup\{[k_{3j}, k_{3j+3}) : j \in J\})$.

Given that m_i and J_{i-1} have been chosen we will construct the set t_i also by a finite induction and will then choose m_{i+1} . Fix an enumeration $\{(e_\ell, n_\ell, n'_\ell) : \ell < L\}$ of $\wp(m_i) \times i \times i$. We will construct $\{t(i, \ell) : \ell < L\}$ such that $t(i+1, \ell) \cap \max(t(i, \ell)) + 1 = t(i, \ell)$ and will let $t_i = \bigcup\{t(i, \ell) : \ell < L\}$. Our inductive hypotheses on J_i are that $F(D(N \setminus J_i)) \setminus F(d_0)$ is infinite and that if $j \in J_i \setminus J_{i-1}$, then $k_{3j} > m_i$.

As we define $t(i, \ell)$, we will also define $J(i, \ell)$ and will set $J_i = \bigcup\{J(i, \ell) : \ell < L\}$. For convenience let $t(i, -1) = \emptyset$ and $J(i, -1) = J_{i-1}$.

Suppose we have chosen $t(i, \ell - 1)$ and $J(i, \ell - 1)$ such that $F(D(N \setminus J(i, \ell - 1))) \setminus F(d_0)$ is infinite.

First choose, if possible, $J'(i, \ell) \supset J(i, \ell - 1)$ such that $J'(i, \ell) \cap \max(t(i, \ell - 1)) = J(i, \ell - 1)$, $\langle c, D(J'(i, \ell)) \rangle \in \mathcal{Y}_{n'}$, and $F(D(N \setminus J'(i, \ell))) \setminus F(d_0)$ is infinite. If there is no such $J'(i, \ell)$, then let $J'(i, \ell) = J(i, \ell - 1)$.

Next choose, if possible, an $x \subset d_1 \setminus \max(t(i, \ell - 1))$ such that

$$F(e_\ell \cup t(i, \ell - 1) \cup D(J'(i, \ell)) \cup x) \setminus F(D(J'(i, \ell - 1)))$$

is infinite and $\langle c, d_0 \cup e_\ell \cup t(i, \ell - 1) \cup D(J(i, \ell - 1)) \cup x \rangle \in \mathcal{Y}_{n_\ell}$.

If such an x exists, call this Case one, and choose some large enough $j' \in x$ such that there is a $k_{3\ell'} < j'$ such that $\ell' \notin J'(i, \ell)$ and

$$F(e_\ell \cup t(i, \ell - 1) \cup D(J'(i, \ell)) \cup x) \setminus F(D(J'(i, \ell)))$$

contains some element of $F(c) \cap [m_i, k_{3\ell'})$. We define $J(i, \ell) = J'(i, \ell)$, and $t(i, \ell) = t(i, \ell - 1) \cup (x \cap j + 1)$. Note that ℓ' will not be in J_i .

On the other hand, if no such an x exists, then choose $J(\ell, i) \supset J'(\ell, i)$ such that each of

$$F(e_\ell \cup t(i, \ell - 1) \cup D(J(i, \ell))) \setminus F(D(J'(i, \ell)))$$

and

$$F(D(N \setminus J(i, \ell)))$$

are infinite. Again choose an $\ell' \notin J'(i, \ell)$ so that $k_{3\ell'} > \max(t(i, \ell - 1))$, and ensure that $J(i, \ell) \cap \ell' + 1$ equals $J'(i, \ell) \cap \ell'$. Also ensure that $d_1 \cap [k_{3\ell'}, k_{3\ell'+3})$ is not empty and let $t(i, \ell) = t(i, \ell - 1) \cup (d_1 \cap [k_{3\ell'}, k_{3\ell'+3}))$.

Let $m_{i+1} = k_{3n}$ be chosen so that $3n \notin J_i = \bigcup\{J(i, \ell) : \ell < L\}$ and $t_i = \bigcup\{t(i, \ell) : \ell < L\} \subset m_{i+1}$.

Let $J_\omega = \bigcup_i J_i$ and note that, by construction $D(J_\omega) \cap m_{i+1} = D(J_i) \cap m_{i+1}$. For each infinite $I \subset \omega$, set $e_I = \bigcup\{t_i : i \in I\} \subset d_1$. We finish by showing that the clopen set e_I^* meets $g_{b_\alpha}[b_\alpha^*]$. To show this, it suffices to show that $F(e_I)$ is infinite. Since $F(e_I)$ contains $F(D(J_\omega) \cup e_I) \setminus F(D(J_\omega)) \bmod \text{finite}$, it suffices to show this latter set is infinite.

Since $\langle c, D(J_\omega) \cup e_I \rangle \in \mathcal{X}$, there is an n such that $\langle c, D(J_\omega) \cup e_I \rangle \in \mathcal{Y}_n$. There is also an n' such that $\langle c, D(J_\omega) \rangle \in \mathcal{Y}_{n'}$. Let $i \in I$ be any integer greater than both n and n' . We will show that $F(D(J_\omega) \cup e_I) \setminus F(D(J_\omega))$ is not contained in i .

Set $d = D(J_\omega) \cup e_I$ and fix ℓ such that at stage i in the construction of the m_i 's, $e_\ell = d \cap m_i$ and $n_\ell = n$, and $n'_\ell = n'$. We consider the properties of $t(i, \ell)$. Let $Y = D(J'(i, \ell))$. Since $\langle c, D(J_\omega) \rangle$ is in $\mathcal{Y}_{n'}$, it follows that we were able to ensure that $\langle c, D(J'(i, \ell)) \rangle = \langle c, Y \rangle$ is in $\mathcal{Y}_{n'}$.

Recall that there was an ℓ' such that $m_i < k_{3\ell'} < m_{i+1}$ and that the maximum of $t(i, \ell)$ was greater than $k_{3\ell'}$. If $J(i, \ell) \setminus J'(i, \ell)$ was infinite, then we know $F(e_\ell \cup t(i, \ell - 1) \cup D(J(i, \ell))) \setminus F(Y)$ is infinite. Therefore $F(d) \setminus F(Y)$ is infinite because $d \supset e_\ell \cup t(i, \ell - 1) \cup D(J(i, \ell))$. If we set $\bar{x} = d \setminus \max(t(i, \ell - 1))$, then \bar{x} would be a witness to the fact that we should have been in case one when defining $t(i, \ell)$. Therefore $J(i, \ell) = J'(i, \ell)$ and at stage ℓ we were able to find some x as in Case one. In addition, $t(i, \ell)$ was defined as $t(i, \ell - 1) \cup (x \cap j + 1)$ for some $j \in x \setminus k_{3\ell'}$.

Since $e_\ell = d \cap m_i$ and $i \in I$, we have that

$$d \cap k_{3\ell'} = (e_\ell \cup t(i, \ell - 1) \cup D(J'(i, \ell)) \cup x) \cap k_{3\ell'}.$$

That is, if we let $d_x = e_\ell \cup t(i, \ell - 1) \cup D(J'(i, \ell)) \cup x$, then $\langle c, d_x \rangle \in \mathcal{Y}_n$ and $d_x \cap k_{3\ell'} = d \cap k_{3\ell'}$.

Now since $\langle c, d \rangle \in \mathcal{Y}_n$, there is a pair $\langle \bar{c}, \bar{d} \rangle \in Y_n$ such that $\bar{c} \cap k_{3\ell'} = c \cap k_{3\ell'}$, $\bar{d} \cap k_{3\ell'} = d \cap k_{3\ell'}$, $F(\bar{c}) \cap k_{3\ell'} = F(c) \cap k_{3\ell'}$, and $F(\bar{d}) \cap k_{3\ell'} = F(d) \cap k_{3\ell'}$. In addition, if we let $\bar{\alpha} \in \omega_1$ such that $\bar{c} \subset a_{\bar{\alpha}}$, we have that $a_{\bar{\alpha}} \cap a_\alpha \subset k_{3\ell'+1}$. Further, recall that $(c \cup F(c)) \cap [k_{3\ell'}, k_{3\ell'+1})$ is empty. Observe also that $Y \cap k_{3\ell'}$ is equal to $D(J_\omega) \cap k_{3\ell'}$.

It follows then that each of

- (1) $c \subset a_\alpha$ and $\bar{c} \subset a_{\bar{\alpha}}$ and $\alpha \neq \bar{\alpha}$
- (2) $c \cap F(\bar{c})$ and $\bar{c} \cap F(c)$ are empty: because $c \cap F(\bar{c}) \subset c \cap (F(\bar{c}) \cap a_\alpha) \subset c \cap (F(\bar{c}) \cap k_{3\ell'+1}) \subset c \cap F(c)$ and similarly, $\bar{c} \cap F(c) \subset \bar{c} \cap F(c) \cap k_{3\ell'} \subset c \cap F(c)$
- (3) $c \cap \bar{d} = \bar{c} \cap d$: because each of $c \cap \bar{d}$ and $\bar{c} \cap d$ are equal to $d \cap k_{3\ell'+1}$

Therefore, the only reason that $(\langle c, d \rangle, \langle \bar{c}, \bar{d} \rangle)$ is not in K_0 is that $F(c) \cap F(\bar{d})$ must be equal to $F(\bar{c}) \cap F(d)$. The same is true with d_x in place of d , hence $F(c) \cap F(\bar{d})$ must be equal to $F(\bar{c}) \cap F(d_x)$. By the choice of x , there is some integer $m' \in F(c) \cap [m_i, k_{3\ell'}) \cap F(d_x) \setminus F(Y)$, and therefore $m' \in F(\bar{c}) \cap F(\bar{d})$. This then means that $m' \in F(d)$.

By the same reasoning, there is a pair $\langle c', d' \rangle \in Y_{n'}$ such that $c' \cap k_{3\ell'} = c \cap k_{3\ell'}$, $d' \cap k_{3\ell'} = D(J_\omega) \cap k_{3\ell'}$, $F(c') \cap k_{3\ell'} = F(c) \cap k_{3\ell'}$, and $F(d') \cap k_{3\ell'} = F(D(J_\omega)) \cap k_{3\ell'}$. In addition, if we let $\alpha' \in \omega_1$ such that $c' \subset a_{\alpha'}$, we have that $a_{\alpha'} \cap a_\alpha \subset k_{3\ell'+1}$. Further, recall that $(c \cup F(c)) \cap [k_{3\ell'}, k_{3\ell'+1})$ is empty. Repeating the argument above with $\langle c, D(J_\omega) \rangle$ and $\langle c', d' \rangle$ in place of $\langle c, d \rangle$ and $\langle \bar{c}, \bar{d} \rangle$ respectively, we have that $F(D(J_\omega)) \cap k_{3\ell'}$ is equal to $F(d') \cap k_{3\ell'}$. Furthermore, $F(Y) \cap k_{3\ell'}$ will also equal $F(d') \cap k_{3\ell'}$ because $Y \cap k_{3\ell'} = D(J_\omega) \cap k_{3\ell'}$. Since $m' \in F(c) \cap F(c') \setminus F(Y)$, it follows that $m' \notin F(D(J_\omega))$.

This shows that $m' \in F(d) \setminus F(D(J_\omega))$ and completes the proof. \square

Corollary 15. *The space Z_0 is regular closed in N^* .*

Proof. Let $A \subset N$ be any infinite set such that $A^* \cap Z_0$ is not empty. Since f is irreducible on Z_0 , $f[A^* \cap Z_0]$ has interior in K . By Lemma 11, there is an uncountable family $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1\}$ of pairwise disjoint open subsets of $f[A^* \cap Z_0]$. For each α , let c_α be an infinite set such that $f[c_\alpha^*]$ is a subset of U_α and such that f is one to one on c_α^* . If any of the c_α 's are such that $f[c_\alpha^*]$ has interior then we can apply Lemma 9.

On the other hand, we assume that each c_α^* is disjoint from Z_0 . Fix an a_α such that $f[a_\alpha^*]$ is a subset of U_α and meets $f[c_\alpha^*]$. By Proposition 13, we may assume that $\{a_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1\}$ is tree-like. It follows that $f[N^* \setminus (a_\alpha \cup c_\alpha)^*]$ is a closed subset of K which does not contain $f(c_\alpha^*)$. Let b_α be any infinite subset of a_α such that

$f[b_\alpha^*]$ is disjoint from $f[N^* \setminus (a_\alpha \cup c_\alpha)^*]$ and the nowhere dense set $f[c_\alpha^*]$. Now we can apply Lemma 14 \square

Lemma 16. *If f is not locally one to one, then there is a point x such that for every countable family $\{A_n : n \in \omega\} \subset x$, there is an $A \in \mathcal{J}$ such that A is almost contained in each A_n .*

Proof. We will choose a point x and an infinite set $b \subset N$, such that $b \notin x$, $f(x) \in f[b^*]$, and f is not one to one on any A^* with $A \in x$.

First assume that there is some infinite $b \subset N$ such that $f[b^*]$ is nowhere dense in K . Since $f[(N \setminus b)^*]$ is equal to K , we can fix $x \in N^*$, such that $f(x) \in f[b^*]$.

Otherwise, we may assume that $f[b^*]$ has interior for all infinite $b \subset N$. In this case, fix any $x \in N^*$ such that $f \upharpoonright A^*$ is not one to one for any $A \in x$. Let $b \subset N$ be any infinite set such that $f(x) \in f[b^*]$ and $b \notin x$.

Assume that $\{A_n : n \in \omega\} \subset x$. We may assume that for each n , $A_n \cap b$ is empty and $A_{n+1} \subset A_n$. It follows that $f(x) \notin f[(N \setminus (b \cup A_n))^*]$ for each n . By continuity of f , there is a $B_n \in x$ such that $f[B_n^*]$ is disjoint from $f[(N \setminus (b \cup A_n))^*]$. Let A be almost contained in B_n for each n . It follows that $f[A^*] \cap f[(N \setminus (b \cup A_n))^*]$ is empty for each n . We may assume that f is one to one on A^* by Lemma 9. Furthermore, if $f[A^*]$ has interior in K , then by Lemma 9, there is a $c \in \mathcal{J}'$ such that $f[c^*] \subset f[A^*]$. Therefore $f[c^*]$ is disjoint from $f[(N \setminus (A_n \cup b))^*]$ for each n , hence c is almost contained in A_n for all n .

Now we consider the case that $f[A^*]$ is nowhere dense for each A almost contained in all the A_n 's. Since Z_0 is regular closed, we can choose, for each n , an infinite $b_n \subset B_n$ such that $b_n^* \subset Z_0$. We may further suppose that there is a disjoint set c_n such that $f[b_n^*] = f[c_n^*]$. It follows that there is some $m > n$ such that $b_n^* \cap B_m^*$ is empty (otherwise there would be an $A \subset b_n$ almost contained in each A_n such that $f[A^*]$ had interior).

We may also assume that b_n is disjoint from b_m for each $n \neq m$. For each n , let $\{b(n, \alpha) : \alpha \in \omega_1\}$ be an almost disjoint family of infinite subsets of b_n . Inductively define an almost disjoint family $\{a_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1\}$ of subsets of $\bigcup_n b_n$ so that $a_\alpha \cap b_n = b(n, \alpha)$ for each n . Apply Proposition 13, to find a partition a_α as $a_\alpha^0 \cup a_\alpha^1$ so that there is an uncountable $I \subset \omega_1$ such that each of the families $\{a_\alpha^0 : \alpha \in I\}$ and $\{a_\alpha^1 : \alpha \in I\}$ are tree-like. For each $\alpha \in I$, at least one of a_α^0 or a_α^1 will meet infinitely many of the b_n 's. Therefore we can assume we have an uncountable tree-like family $\{a_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1\}$ such that each a_α meets infinitely many of the b_n 's in an infinite set.

Assume that $f[(N \setminus a_\alpha)^*]$ contains $f[a_\alpha^*]$ for some α . It then follows that f is one to one on a_α^* . By Proposition 12, we can write a_α as $a_\alpha^0 \cup a_\alpha^1$ so that $f[(a_\alpha^1)^*]$ is nowhere dense and $f[(a_\alpha^0)^*]$ is clopen. It follows then that the clopen set $f[(a_\alpha \cap b_n)^*]$ is contained in $f[(a_\alpha^0)^*]$ for each n . This however contradicts the current assumption that $f[A^*]$ is nowhere dense for any $A \subset a_\alpha$ which is almost disjoint from each b_n . From this we conclude that there is some infinite $b_\alpha \subset a_\alpha$ such that $f[b_\alpha^*]$ is disjoint from $f[(N \setminus a_\alpha)^*]$.

Finally, the theorem follows by applying Lemma 14. \square

4. LOCALLY ONE TO ONE

Theorem 17 (PFA). *The function f is locally one to one.*

Proof. Assume that f is not locally one to one and choose an x as in Lemma 16. For each $a \in \mathcal{J}$, we have the permutation h_a on a such that h_a is never the identity and h_a^2 is the identity on a (3).

We define a poset P by $p \in P$ if there is a finite set $F_p \subset \omega_1$, a set $\mathcal{M}_p = \langle M_\alpha : \alpha \in F_p \rangle$ of elementary submodels, a finite function $\langle a_\alpha : \alpha \in F_p \rangle$ whose range is a subset of \mathcal{J}' , and a finite function s_p with domain n_p into $\{0, 1, 2\}$.

For each $\alpha \in F_p$, we require that M_α is countable elementary submodel of a suitable $H(\theta)$ (containing x, \mathcal{J}', f). We require that $a_\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ is contained mod finite in each $A \in x \cap M_\alpha$. For $\beta > \alpha$ also in F_p , $M_\alpha \in M_\beta$. For convenience, we can require that $M_\alpha \cap \omega_1 = \alpha$. The final condition is that there must be an $i \in a_\alpha$ and a $j \in a_\beta$ such that $s_p(i) = 0$, $s_p(j) = 1$, $h_{a_\alpha}(i) = h_{a_\beta}(j)$, and $s_p(h_{a_\alpha}(i)) = 2$.

We show below that P is a proper (Lemma 20) and that for each $\alpha \in \omega_1$, the set $D_\alpha = \{p \in P : F_p \setminus \alpha \neq \emptyset\}$ is dense (Lemma 19). Suppose that $G \subset P$ is a filter which meets D_α for all $\alpha \in \omega_1$. Let $I = \bigcup\{F_p : p \in G\}$ and $\{a_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ be the corresponding elements of \mathcal{J} . For each $\alpha \in I$, let $h_\alpha = h_{a_\alpha}$. Let $A_0 = \{n \in \omega : (\exists p \in G)s_p(n) = 0\}$, $A_1 = \{n \in \omega : (\exists p \in G)s_p(n) = 1\}$ and $A_2 = \{n : (\exists p \in G)s_p(n) = 2\}$. For each $\alpha \in I$, let $b(\alpha, 0) = A_0 \cap a_\alpha$ and $b(\alpha, 1) = A_1 \cap a_\alpha$. Let $c(\alpha, 0) = A_2 \cap h_\alpha(b(\alpha, 0))$ and $c(\alpha, 1) = A_2 \cap h_\alpha(b(\alpha, 1))$.

Assume that $d \subset A_2$ is such that $c(\alpha, 0) \subset^* d$ and $d \cap c(\alpha, 1) =^* \emptyset$ for all $\alpha \in I$. There is some $m \in \omega$ and an uncountable $I' \subset I$ such that $c(\alpha, 0) \subset d \cup m$ and $d \cap c(\alpha, 1) \subset m$. We can also assume that there is an integer $m' \geq m$, a finite set $a' \subset m'$ and a function h from a' into ω such that $a_\alpha \cap m' = a'$, $h_\alpha^{-1}(m) \subset a'$, and $h_\alpha \upharpoonright a' = h$ for all $\alpha \in I$. Let $\alpha < \beta \in I'$ and $p \in G$ such that $\{\alpha, \beta\} \subset F_p$. By the definition of P , there is an $i \in a_\alpha$, $j \in a_\beta$ such that $s_p(i) = 0$, $s_p(j) = 1$, $h_\alpha(i) = h_\beta(j)$, and $s_p(h_\alpha(i)) = 2$. Clearly $i \in b(\alpha, 0)$ and $j \in b(\beta, 1)$. We want to show that each of i, j and $k = h_\alpha(i)$ are larger than m . Since $h_\alpha^{-1}(k)$ is different than $h_\beta^{-1}(k)$ it follows that $k \geq m$. Since h_α and h_β disagree on i and j , they too are greater than m . Therefore, $k \in c(\alpha, 0) \setminus m$, hence $k \in d$. However this contradicts that $d \cap c(\beta, 1) \subset m$.

It follows that the sets $\bigcup\{c(\alpha, 0)^* : \alpha \in I\}$ and $\bigcup\{c(\alpha, 1)^* : \alpha \in I\}$ do not have disjoint closures in N^* . Let x be a common limit point. Since $f[\bigcup\{b(\alpha, 0)^* : \alpha \in I\}]$ contains $f[\bigcup\{c(\alpha, 0)^* : \alpha \in I\}]$, it follows that there is a point $y \in A_0^*$ such that $f(y) = x$. Similarly there is a point $z \in A_1^*$ such that $f(z) = x$. Since $x \in A_2^*$, we have produced three distinct points mapping to the same element of K . \square

For a set $\mathcal{A} \subset \wp(N)$, and a set $a \subset N$, let $a \prec^* \mathcal{A}$ indicate that $a \subset^* A$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 18. *Assume that $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{J}$ and that for each countable subset \mathcal{A} of x , there is an $a \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $a \prec^* \mathcal{A}$. Then the set S consisting of all k satisfying*

$$(\forall \mathcal{A} \in [x]^\omega)(\exists a \in \mathcal{S}) (a \prec^* \mathcal{A} \text{ and } (k \in a))$$

is a member of x .

Proof. Let $x, \mathcal{S} \in M$ be any countable elementary submodel and let \mathcal{S}' denote the elements of \mathcal{S} which are mod finite contained in every member of $x \cap M$. It follows by elementarity that $B = \{k : (\exists a \in \mathcal{S}')k \in a\}$ is contained in S . If $B \notin x$, then there is some $a \in \mathcal{S}'$ such that $a \subset^* N \setminus B$. This is clearly impossible since, by definition, a is a subset of B . Therefore $S \in x$. \square

Lemma 19. *For each $\alpha \in \omega_1$, the set D_α is a dense subset of P .*

Proof. Let $p \in P$ be arbitrary and let $p, \alpha, \mathcal{J} \in M$ for some countable elementary submodel of $H(\lambda)$. Let $\delta = M \cap \omega_1$ and set $M_\delta = M$.

If F_p is empty, then we can simply apply Lemma 9, to find an $a_\delta \in \mathcal{J}$ such that $a_\delta \subset^* A$ for all $A \in x \cap M$. and set $p' = (\delta, \langle M \rangle, \langle a_\delta \rangle, s_p)$. It follows easily that $p' \leq p$ and $p' \in D_\alpha$.

If F_p is not empty, let β be the largest element of F_p . Let the domain of s_p be contained in some integer m . We must again choose a suitable a_δ to be mod finite contained in every member of $x \cap M$. If we ensure that $a_\delta \cap m = a_\beta \cap m$, then for each $\alpha' \in F_p \cap \beta$, there are $i \in a_{\alpha'}$, $j \in m \cap a_\beta$ and $k = h_{a_{\alpha'}}(i) = h_{a_\beta}(j) < m$ such that $s_p(i) = 0$, $s_p(j) = 1$, and $s_p(k) = 2$. Therefore we must work to ensure that there is an $i \in a_\beta \setminus m$ and distinct $j \in a_\delta \setminus m$ such that $h_{a_\beta}(i) = h_{a_\delta}(j) = k$. Then we can simply extend s_p by sending i to 0, j to 1 and k to 2.

Let $a' = a_\beta \cap m$ and set $\mathcal{S} = \{a \in \mathcal{J} : a \cap m = a'\}$. Observe that $\mathcal{S} \in M_\beta$ where M_β denotes the elementary submodel $M' \in \mathcal{M}_p$ such that $M' \cap \omega_1 = \beta$. Since $a_\beta \in \mathcal{S}$ and a_β is almost contained in every $A \in x \cap M_\beta$, it follows by elementarity, that for every $\mathcal{A} \in [x]^\omega$, there is an $a \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfying $a \prec^* \mathcal{A}$. By Lemma 18, the set $S = \{k : (\forall \mathcal{A} \in [x]^\omega) (\exists a \in \mathcal{S})(k \in a)\}$ is a member of $x \cap M_\beta$.

Now define B to be the set of all $k \in S$ for which there is some countable $\mathcal{A} \in [x]^\omega$ and a unique value $g(k)$ such that $h_a(k) = g(k)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $k \in a$ and $a \prec^* \mathcal{A}$. This set B is also a member of M_β .

If B is a member of x , then by the three set lemma [Wal74, 6.25], there is a partition B_0, B_1, B_2 of B with such that $g[B_i] \cap B_i$ is empty for each i . We may assume that B_0 is a member of x . If B is not a member of x , then let $B_0 = S \setminus B$. Again, we may choose B_0 to be a member of $M_\beta \cap x$.

Therefore a_β is contained mod finite in B_0 . Let $k \in a_\beta \cap B_0 \setminus m$ be large enough so that $k \notin g^{-1}(\{0, \dots, m\})$ and $i = h_{a_\beta}(k) \in a_\beta \setminus m$. If $k \in B$, then there is an $a_\delta = a \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $a \prec^* M$, $k \in a$ and $j = h_a(k) = g(k) \notin B_0$. If $k \notin B$, then $g(k)$ is not defined. Since $k \in S$, it follows that there is an $a_\delta = a \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $a \prec^* M$, $k \in a$, and $h_a(k) = j \neq i$.

It follows that $p' < p$ and $p' \in D_\alpha$ where $F_{p'} = F_p \cup \{\delta\}$, $\mathcal{M}_{p'} = \mathcal{M}_p \cup \{M_\delta\}$, $\mathcal{A}_{p'} = \mathcal{A}_p \cup \{a_\delta\}$ and define $s_{p'} \supset s_p$ with $s_{p'}(i) = 0$, $s_{p'}(j) = 1$ and $s_{p'}(k) = 2$. \square

Lemma 20. *The poset P is proper.*

Proof. Let λ be any suitably large cardinal with $P \in H(\lambda)$ and let $\theta, P \in M \prec H(\lambda)$ be a countable elementary submodel. Let $p_0 \in M$, $\delta = M \cap \omega_1$ and $M_\delta = M \cap H(\theta)$. In the proof of Lemma 19, we have shown that there is a $q < p$ such that $M_\delta \in \mathcal{M}_q$.

Let $D \in M$ be a dense subset of P , $q \in D$, $q < p_0$, and $M_\delta \in \mathcal{M}_q$. To show that P is proper, it suffices to show that there is a $q' \in M \cap D$ which is compatible with q .

Let $m_0 \in \omega$ contain the domain of s_q . Let $q_0 = q \cap M$, and $F_q \setminus M = \{\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n-1}\}$ listed in increasing order. For $i < n$, let $c_i = a_{\delta_i} \cap m_0$.

Define $T_n \subset {}^n(\omega_1 \times \mathcal{J})$ by $t = \langle \langle \gamma_i, a_i \rangle \rangle_{i < n} \in T$ if there is a $p \in D$ such that

- (1) for each $i < n$, $a_i \cap m_0 = c_i$;
- (2) $s_p = s_q$;
- (3) F_{q_0} is an initial segment of F_p ;
- (4) $F_p \setminus F_{q_0} = \{\gamma_i : i < n\}$; and
- (5) $t \subset \mathcal{A}_p$.

Observe that $\langle \delta_i, a_{\delta_i} \rangle_{i < n} \in T_n$ and that $T_n \in H(\theta) \cap M = M_{\delta_0}$.

We define by induction on $k < n$, a set T_{n-k} . If T_{n-k} has been defined, then let $\langle\langle\gamma_i, a_i\rangle\rangle_{i < (n-(k+1))} \in T_{n-(k+1)}$ providing that for each $\mathcal{A} \in [x]^\omega$ and each $\gamma < \omega_1$, there are $\gamma' > \gamma$ and a' such that $a' \prec^* \mathcal{A}$, $\langle\langle\gamma_i, a_i\rangle\rangle_{i < (n-(k+1))} \frown \{(\gamma', a')\} \in T_{n-k}$. The set $T_{n-k} \in M_\delta$ for each $k < n$.

Since the elements of F_q are separated by the elementary submodels in \mathcal{M}_q , it follows that for each $k < n$, $\langle\langle\gamma_i, a_{\delta_i}\rangle\rangle_{i < (n-k)}$ is a member of T_{n-k} . In particular, it follows that $\emptyset \in T_0$. The approach is based on Todorčević's no S-spaces proof [Tod89, 8.9].

The next step is to inductively find a sequence $\langle\langle\beta_i, a_i\rangle\rangle_{i < n} \in M_\delta$ so that for each $k < n$, $\langle\langle\beta_i, a_i\rangle\rangle_{i < n-k}$ is in T_{n-k} . This will identify a condition $p \in M \cap D$ but we will have to have chosen the a_i more carefully in order to arrange that p is compatible with q . This is similar to the proof of Lemma 19.

Let $\mathcal{S}_0 = \{a \in \mathcal{J} : (\exists \gamma) \langle\langle\gamma, a\rangle\rangle \in T_1\}$. Since a_{δ_0} is in $\mathcal{S}_0 \in M_{\delta_0}$, it follows that \mathcal{S}_0 satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 18. As in the proof of Lemma 19, there is a $k \in a_{\delta_0} \setminus m_0$ such that with $j = h_{a_{\delta_0}}(k)$, there is an $a_0 \in \mathcal{S}_0$ such that $k \in a_0$ and $h_{a_0}(k) = i \neq j$. Fix an element $\langle\langle\beta_0, a_{\beta_0}\rangle\rangle \in T_1 \cap M_\delta$ such that $k \in a_{\beta_0}$ and $h_{a_{\beta_0}}(k) = i$. Let $m_1 \in \omega$ be larger than each of i, j, k .

Let $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{a \in \mathcal{J} : (\exists \beta_1) \langle\langle\beta_0, a_{\beta_0}\rangle\rangle, \langle\langle\beta_1, a\rangle\rangle \in T_2\}$. Since $\langle\langle\beta_0, a_{\beta_0}\rangle\rangle \in T_1$, it follows that \mathcal{S}_1 satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 18. We again find $\langle\langle\beta_1, a_{\beta_1}\rangle\rangle \in M_\delta$ such that $\langle\langle\beta_0, a_{\beta_0}\rangle\rangle, \langle\langle\beta_1, a\rangle\rangle \in T_2$ and there is a $k \in a_{\delta_1} \cap a_{\beta_1}$ such that $h_{a_{\delta_1}}(k) = j$ is distinct from $h_{a_{\beta_1}}(k) = i$. Let m_2 be greater than each of these values $\{i, j, k\}$.

Proceeding in this way, we can obtain our desired sequence $\langle\langle\beta_i, a_{\beta_i}\rangle\rangle_{i < n} \in T_n \cap M$ together with an increasing sequence $m_0 < m_1 < \dots < m_{n-1}$ so that for each $\ell < n$, there is a $k_\ell \in a_{\beta_\ell} \cap a_{\delta_\ell} \cap [m_{\ell-1}, m_\ell)$ such that $i_\ell = h_{a_{\beta_\ell}}(k) \neq h_{a_{\delta_\ell}}(k) = j_\ell$ are both in $[m_{\ell-1}, m_\ell)$. Let $p \in D \cap M_\delta$ be chosen to witness that $\langle\langle\beta_i, a_{\beta_i}\rangle\rangle_{i < n} \in T_n \cap M$.

Define a function $s = s_{q'} \supset s_q$ so that for each $\ell < n$, $s(i_\ell) = 0$, $s(j_\ell) = 1$, and $s(k_\ell) = 2$. Let $F_{q'} = F_p \cup F_q$, $\mathcal{M}_{q'} = \mathcal{M}_p \cup \mathcal{M}_q$, and $\mathcal{A}_{q'} = \mathcal{A}_p \cup \mathcal{A}_q$. The properties of s described above ensure that $q' \in P$ and it is clear that $q' < p, q$. \square

REFERENCES

- [vD93] Eric K. van Douwen, *Applications of maximal topologies*, Topology Appl. **51** (1993), no. 2, 125–139.
- [DT04] A. Dow and G. Techanie, *Two to one images of N^* under CH*, in preparation.
- [Far00] I. Farah. *Analytic quotients: theory of liftings for quotients over analytic ideals on the integers*. Number 702 in Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 148. 2000. 177 pp.
- [Lev04] R. Levy. *The weight of certain images of ω^** preprint, 2004
- [Tod89] S. Todorčević, *Partition problems in topology*, Contemporary Mathematics, 1989.
- [Vel93] Boban Velickovic, *OCA and automorphisms of $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/fin$* , Topology and its Applications **49** (1993), 1–13.
- [Wal74] Russell C. Walker, *The Stone-Čech compactification*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 83.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNC-CHARLOTTE, 9201 UNIVERSITY CITY BLVD., CHARLOTTE, NC 28223-0001

E-mail address: adow@uncc.edu

URL: <http://www.math.uncc.edu/~adow>